

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>H01S</b>		(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 99/34484</b>
<b>A2</b>		(43) International Publication Date: 8 July 1999 (08.07.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/27681		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
(22) International Filing Date: 28 December 1998 (28.12.98)		
(30) Priority Data: 60/068,931 29 December 1997 (29.12.97) US 09/105,399 26 June 1998 (26.06.98) US		
(71) Applicant: CORETEK, INC. [US/US]; 25 B Street, Burlington, MA 01803 (US).		
(72) Inventors: TAYEBATI, Parviz; 118 Pierce Road, Watertown, MA 02172 (US). AZIMI, Masud; Apartment 9, 6 Dansforth Road, Nashua, NH 03060 (US). WANG, Peidong; Apartment 26, 272 Cambridge Street, Woburn, MA 01801 (US). VAKHSHOORI, Daryoosh; Apartment 205, 10 Rogers Street, Cambridge, MA 02142 (US).		
(74) Agent: PANDISCIO, Mark, J.; Pandiscio & Pandiscio, 470 Totten Pond Road, Waltham, MA 02451-1914 (US).		

**Published**


*Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.*

(54) Title: MICROELECTROMECHANICALLY TUNABLE, CONFOCAL, VERTICAL CAVITY SURFACE EMITTING LASER AND FABRY-PEROT FILTER

(57) Abstract

A method is provided for fabricating microelectromechanically tunable vertical-cavity surface-emitting lasers and microelectromechanically tunable Fabry-Perot filters with precise lateral and vertical dimensional control. Strained reflective dielectric film(s) are applied to a multiple quantum well structure to electronically band-gap-engineer the quantum wells. Appropriate strain in the reflective dielectric film layers is also used to create appropriate curvature in one of the reflective dielectric film stacks so as to form a confocal cavity between a planar reflective dielectric film layer and the curved reflective dielectric film layer in the vertical cavity surface emitting laser or filter. Microelectromechanical

tunable vertical cavity surface emitting lasers and filter structures are also provided which include a suspended membrane structure made of a dielectric/metal membrane or metal film that supports a cavity-tuning reflective dielectric film stack while being anchored at the perimeter by metal support post(s). Precise air-cavity length and lateral dimensions are achieved by micro-die-casting using a micro-machined sacrificial polyimide or aluminum disk. Further, tuning is achieved by translational movement of the cavity-tuning reflective dielectric film stack in a controlled electrostatic field.



**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

MICROELECTROMECHANICALLY TUNABLE,  
CONFOCAL, VERTICAL CAVITY SURFACE EMITTING  
LASER AND FABRY-PEROT FILTER

Reference To Pending Prior Provisional Patent  
Application

This patent application claims benefit of pending prior U.S. Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/068,931 filed 12/29/97 for MICROELECTROMECHANICALLY TUNABLE CONFOCAL VERTICAL CAVITY SURFACE EMITTING LASER VCSEL AND FABRY PEROT FILTER.

Field Of The Invention

The present invention relates to semiconductor optoelectronic devices in general and, more particularly, to wavelength tunable surface emitting semiconductor lasers and filters.

Background Of The Invention

Tunable vertical cavity surface emitting lasers (VCSEL's) and filters have recently generated considerable interest in the art. This is because these devices show great promise not only for

- 2 -

increasing bandwidth during wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) in fiber-optic communications, but also for use in switches, routers, highly compact spectroscopic interferometers, optical trans-receivers and numerous other applications.

More particularly, VCSEL's are extremely attractive for integrated optoelectronic circuits. For one thing, they operate at a single longitudinal mode with a circular aperture, thereby providing efficient coupling to fibers. In addition, they are compact, and can be monolithically fabricated in large, dense arrays on a wafer-scale.

As a fixed wavelength light source, VCSEL's have demonstrated limited application and functionality.

Some past effort has been directed towards achieving wavelength tuning in VCSEL's by introducing refractive index changes with (1) temperature (see, for example, Berger, P. R., Dutta, N. K., Choquette, K. D., Hasnain, G., and Chand, N., "Monolithically Peltier-cooled vertical-cavity surface-emitting lasers", Applied Physics Letters, Vol. 59, No. 1, pp. 117-119, 1991; and Chang-Hasnain, C. J., Harbison, J.

- 3 -

P., Zah, C. E., Florez, L. T., and Andreadakis, N. C., "Continuous wavelength tuning of two-electrode vertical cavity surface emitting lasers", Electron. Lett., Vol. 27, No. 11, pp. 1002-1003, 1991); or (2) carrier injection (see, for example, Gmachl, C., Kock, A., Rosenberger, M., Gornik, E., Micovic, M., and Walker, J. F., "Frequency tuning of a double-heterojunction AlGaAs/GaAs-vertical-cavity surface-emitting laser by a serial integrated in-cavity modulator diode", Applied Physics Letters, Vol. 62, No. 3, pp. 219-221, 1993).

Both of these techniques provide a tuning range of roughly 10 nm; however, this is still considerably short of the several tens of nanometer tuning range which is necessary for bandwidth-hungry WDM and dense WDM applications.

In contrast, variation of the length of a Fabry-Perot cavity has been shown to be a viable technique for accomplishing wavelength tuning in VCSEL's without affecting the laser gain medium. This can be achieved in surface emitting devices by the provision of a top mirror that can be translated relative to the bottom mirror by the application of an

- 4 -

electrostatic field. This technique has been implemented in tunable Fabry-Perot devices such as (1) filters (see, for example, Larson, M. C., Pezeshki, B., and Harris, J. S., "Vertical coupled-cavity microinterferometer on GaAs with deformable-membrane top mirror", IEEE Photonics Technology Letters, Vol. 7, pp. 382-384, 1995; and Tran, A. T. T. T., Lo, Y. H., Zhu, Z. H., Haronian, D., and Mozdy, E., "Surface Micromachined Fabry-Perot Tunable Filter", IEEE Photonics Technology Letters, Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 393-395, 1996); (2) light emitting diodes (see, for example, Larson, M. C., and Harris, J. S., "Broadly-tunable resonant-cavity light emission", Applied Physics Letters, Vol. 67, No. 5, pp. 590-592, 1995); and (3) VCSEL's (see, for example, Wu, M. S., Vail, E. E., Li, G. S., Yuen, W., and Chang-Hasnain, C. J., "Tunable micromachined vertical-cavity surface emitting laser", Electronic Letters, Vol. 31, No. 4, pp. 1671-1672, 1995; and Larson, M. C., Massengale, A. R., and Harris, J. S., "Continuously tunable micromachined vertical-cavity surface emitting laser with 18 nm wavelength range", Electronic Letters,



- 5 -

Vol. 32, No. 19, pp. 330-332, 1996).

In devices of this sort, the amount of deflection of the top mirror depends on a number of parameters, e.g., the length, width, thickness and Young's modulus of the mirror-supporting arm. Although the aforementioned width, thickness and Young's modulus of the mirror-supporting arm are generally fairly precisely controllable, the current fabrication techniques used in such devices generally provide very limited control over the exact length of the supporting arms. This results in significant performance variations from device-to-device and batch-to-batch.

The present invention provides the precise dimensional control necessary for realizing reproducible, tunable Fabry-Perot devices that are necessary for producing commercially usable tunable filters and VCSEL's.

#### Some Aspects Of The Present Invention

• This patent application claims benefit of pending prior U.S. Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/068,931 filed 12/29/97 for MICROELECTROMECHANICALLY

- 6 -

TUNABLE CONFOCAL VERTICAL CAVITY SURFACE EMITTING LASER VCSEL AND FABRY PEROT FILTER, which document is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

The present invention comprises a novel, microelectromechanically (MEM) tunable, confocal filter.

The present invention also comprises a novel, MEM tunable, confocal vertical cavity surface emitting laser (VCSEL).

The laser preferably utilizes post-growth control of strain in the quantum wells.

In addition, the present invention also comprises a novel technique for VCSEL/filter fabrication which provides the precise dimensional control necessary for mass producing reliable devices having predictable performance characteristics.

More particularly, the present invention provides a new technique for introducing appropriate strain into a thin, lattice-matched layer of laser active medium, i.e., in the quantum wells, after crystal growth has been effected. This is achieved by depositing distributed Bragg reflectors (DBR's) on the laser

- 7 -

active medium, wherein the distributed Bragg reflectors comprise carefully engineered, strained, dielectric multi-layer films. By carefully modifying the strain in the deposited DBR films, the strain and the gain properties of the quantum well regions can be optimized. In VCSEL's, when quantum wells are under compressive strain, the differential gain of the laser increases, and threshold current density decreases, thereby dramatically improving the performance of the VCSEL's. Tensile strain, on the other hand, has adverse effects on the lasing properties of VCSEL's. Dielectric multi-layer combinations, such as silicon (Si) and aluminum-oxide ( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ), or Si and silicon-dioxide ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ), or Si and magnesium-oxide ( $\text{MgO}$ ), or  $\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{SiO}_2$ , can be deposited by means of ion-beam assisted electron-beam evaporation or ion-beam assisted ion-beam sputtering, with a controlled strain in the deposited films. By carefully controlling the ion-beam voltage and current, dielectric films with either tensile or compressive strain can be deposited, with the magnitude of the strain ranging from a few Kilo Pascal (KPa) to a few Giga Pascal (GPa). These

- 8 -

multi-layer dielectric films provide a multi-purpose function, i.e., they induce strain in the quantum wells, they provide optical feedback to the gain medium, and they efficiently remove heat from the active region, all of which are important aspects of creating commercially useful VCSEL's, especially in the wavelength range of between about 1300 nm and about 1500 nm.

The present invention also includes another innovation for producing, via micromachining, a confocal cavity VCSEL that comprises a tunable cavity formed between a set of planar DBR's and a set of curved DBR's. Curvature in the DBR's is achieved by the judicious introduction of an appropriate magnitude of strain in the deposited layers. By the creation of a confocal microcavity, the spatial mode and divergence of the laser mode can be controlled precisely so as to (a) produce single spatial modes by optically restricting the lasing domain in the gain region, and (b) manipulate the divergence angle of the VCSEL so as to optimize the coupling of generated light into a single mode fiber.

The fabrication techniques of the present invention provide extremely precise control of the physical dimensions of both the top DBR structure and the supporting structure, which is indispensable for achieving highly reproducible performance with inconsequential device-to-device variation.

Another aspect of the present invention is a confocal microelectromechanical tunable Fabry-Perot structure. When the gain region is left out of the foregoing confocal VCSEL structure, only the optical cavity remains, and the device acts as a confocal Fabry-Perot filter. The confocal nature of the short cavity (e.g., 0.2-10 micron) device allows efficient coupling of light (i) from an input single mode fiber to the device, and (ii) back out to a single mode output fiber.

Confocal tunable filter and VCSEL devices are depicted in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. These devices operate at a single longitudinal mode over the entire bandwidth (e.g., 30-120 nm) of the gain medium, in the case of a VCSEL; and over a 100 nm tuning range, in the case of a filter.

- 10 -

As depicted in Fig. 1, the tunable Fabry-Perot filter device comprises (i) a distributed Bragg reflector (DBR) with a curvature  $R$ , formed by high index contrast multi-layers atop a thin membrane (or tethers) of silicon nitride ( $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ ) or a thin metal film such as titanium-tungsten (TiW), wherein the membrane is supported at its perimeter by thicker metal posts, (ii) an air cavity formed by selective removal of a sacrificial layer, and (iii) a bottom set of dielectric DBR's deposited in the substrate facing the top DBR.

In the case of a VCSEL, a gain medium, consisting of multiple quantum wells, is inserted in the air cavity as shown in Fig. 2. These VCSEL's can be photo-pumped, or intra-cavity electrical interconnections can be made for current injection.

Of course, it is also to be appreciated that the tunable filter, and/or the tunable VCSEL, can be formed with a top distributed Bragg reflector having a planar configuration, without departing from the scope of the present invention.

The following is a list of some technological

- 11 -

breakthroughs resulting from the present invention.

Strain-Optimized Fixed-Wavelength VCSEL's

Since, in a VCSEL, the resonant optical mode interacts with an extremely small volume of gain medium, it is imperative that the gain medium provide maximum differential gain, while the DBR's provide maximum feedback and the least thermal resistance possible.

Although using compressively strained multiple quantum wells can provide the maximum possible gain, there is a limitation on the maximum number of strained quantum wells that can be grown without generating crystalline defects.

A solution to this problem is to grow strain-compensated multiple quantum wells. In practice, however, this is difficult and costly. Although GaAs/GaAlAs-based VCSEL's show maturity, the presently preferred material system for long wavelength (e.g., 1300 nm and 1500 nm) lasers is InP/InGaAsP-based, and much improvement upon this material system remains to be made.

- 12 -

Since the maximum index contrast between InP and InGaAsP is only about 0.2, a large number of quarter-wave stacks are required in order to provide sufficient feedback. This, however, causes significant resistive losses in the device, and an unacceptable degree of thermal bottleneck. In addition, the low index-contrast also results in relatively narrow bandwidth mirrors, thereby placing a severe constraint on the accuracy of the mirror thickness.

The present device may consist of an InGaAsP/InGaAs multiple quantum well (MQW), with a dielectric DBR on top and a dielectric DBR between the MQW and the substrate. See, for example, Fig. 5, which illustrates a fixed wavelength VCSEL. If desired, the fixed wavelength VCSEL may have a curved top distributed Bragg reflector. Both DBR's are deposited by vacuum deposition techniques.

As summarized below, the present invention provides a solution to all of the foregoing problems, simultaneously, with implications of significant cost reduction and high manufacturing yield.

1. Deposited DBR's can externally alter the



- 13 -

strain in the quantum well regions of the MQW, thereby modifying the gain coefficient, threshold current and slope-efficiency. By controlling the strain of the deposited DBR, the strain in the quantum wells, and therefore the lasing properties of the VCSEL, can be optimized. Post crystal-growth modification of strain in the quantum wells relieves the constraint of devising expensive and difficult strain-compensation techniques employed in epitaxial crystal growth (MBE or MOCVD).

2. In epitaxially grown monolithic VCSEL's, thickness variations across the wafer cause lasing wavelength variations, resulting in poor yield. Since the index contrast between Si and  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  is relatively high (i.e., about 2.8), with only four pairs of DBR's, over 99.9% reflectivity can be achieved over a large bandwidth (e.g., 500 nm). As a result, a Fabry-Perot cavity formed by these DBR's creates a high-Q cavity with sufficient feedback. Since the mirrors are broad band, the stopgap of the mirror can easily straddle over 100 nm of the gain spectrum. Any thickness variations in the active layer, and/or in the cladding

- 14 -

thickness over the wafer, can be compensated for by depositing phase-compensating layers of dielectric films before depositing the final DBR mirror. This allows harvesting most of the wafer for the desired wavelength of emission. The high reflectivity of the DBR mirrors also helps to lower threshold conditions.

3. Dielectric mirrors formed by materials such as Si and  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  or MgO have a very high thermal conductivity, thereby providing for efficient removal of heat from the active region. In addition, only a few pairs of DBR's are required; hence, the thermal path to the heat sink is shorter in the present invention than in traditional semiconductor DBR's, thereby adding to the efficient heat removal process.

#### Wavelength Tunable VCSEL's

A schematic diagram of the steps used in fabricating a novel wavelength tunable VCSEL based on the present invention is shown in Fig. 4. The device comprises bottom DBR's consisting of high index-contrast dielectric pairs such as Si/ $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , Si/ $\text{SiO}_2$ , Si/MgO, or  $\text{TiO}_2/\text{SiO}_2$ , along with

- 15 -

selectively-deposited top DBR mirrors, with an air-cavity and an active medium embedded in the Fabry-Perot cavity formed by the two DBR's.

The present invention also accommodates a hybrid mirror system such as bottom epitaxially grown DBR's and top deposited DBR's.

The top DBR resides on a thin, supporting membrane or multiple tether structure made of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  or metal (TiW) that is supported at its perimeter by a thicker metal support (see Figs. 6A-6C). This forms a trampoline type of structure. In the case of a circular membrane structure, radially extending openings in the  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  or metal film (TiW) are used for selectively removing an underlying sacrificial layer during the top DBR release process, as will be discussed further below.

By applying an appropriate voltage across this membrane and the bottom DBR's, the trampoline structure, along with the top mirror, can be translated toward, and away from, the bottom DBR so as to tune the laser emission. Since the DBR's are broad band, tuning is possible over the entire bandwidth of the laser gain

- 16 -

spectrum, which is nominally about 60 nm.

One of the important features of the present invention is that the new fabrication process provides precise control over the lateral dimensions of the trampoline structure and the air-cavity length, both of which are important for the consistent manufacturing of substantially identical devices. This is made possible in the present invention by allowing the sacrificial layer to act as a die in order to define the lateral dimensions of the trampoline structure and the vertical dimension of the air-cavity. As a result, the possible ill effects of uncontrolled dimensions, ensuing during the selective removal of the sacrificial layer, are effectively eliminated.

In addition, the new devices are small and compact (approximately  $500\text{ }\mu\text{m} \times 500\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ), thereby allowing arrays thereof to be manufactured and coupled to fibers.

#### Wavelength Tunable Filter

As shown in Fig. 3, the tunable Fabry-Perot filter is obtained by omitting the quantum well gain material

- 17 -

from the above-described VCSEL structure.

High index-contrast DBR stacks provide broad bandwidth (e.g., 500 nm); hence, for a  $\lambda$ -cavity, the Fabry-Perot resonance can be tuned over the entire bandwidth of the DBR's. Since the reflectivity of the DBR's is high, an extremely narrow (sub-Angstrom) linewidth is attainable.

Tuning speed in these devices is on the order of microseconds, making them one of the fastest tunable filters, with extremely high spectral resolution. These devices are also easily mass-produced using standard semiconductor fabrication techniques, thereby making them affordable for consumer products.

#### Tunable VCSEL/Filter With Confocal Cavity

The MEM tunable Fabry-Perot filter with confocal cavity is a highly innovative resonator design that comprises an air cavity between a first set of distributed Bragg reflectors (DBR's) that are planar and a second set of DBR's having a finite radius of curvature. These two sets of DBR's form a confocal cavity as shown in Fig. 1.

- 18 -

One innovation of this design is the fact that the curvature of one of the mirrors creates a micro-resonator that can sustain Hermite Gaussian modes. As described below, by introduction of appropriate curvature in the top mirror, coupling of light from a standard single mode fiber into and out of the device can be simplified by avoiding the use of lenses that are otherwise necessary.

It is well known that the Rayleigh range,  $z_0$ , which defines the distance at which the wave front is most curved, is related to mirror curvature,  $R$ , and cavity length,  $d$ , by the equation  $z_0 = [(R-d)/d]^{1/2}$  ("Equation 1"). For instance, a resonator with a cavity length of 1.5 microns, and a radius of curvature of 1.5 millimeter for the curved DBR's, leads to a  $z_0$  value of 150 microns, and to a fundamental mode beam waist,  $W_0$ , of 8.5 microns at a wavelength,  $\lambda$ , of 1.5 microns, according to the relationship  $W_0 = (z_0 \lambda / \pi)^{1/2}$  ("Equation 2"). Since the value of the mode size at position  $z$  is given by the equation  $W(z) = W_0 [1 + (z/z_0)^2]^{1/2}$  ("Equation 3"), and since  $z_0$  is approximately a hundred times larger than the cavity

- 19 -

length, the mode size remains virtually the same over the length of the cavity. Consequently, light from a 9-micron-core, single-mode fiber on the input side can excite this fundamental mode, and the transmitted single mode beam can be efficiently coupled to a single-mode fiber. As such, by curving the mirror, the mode spot size can be adjusted to match that of a single mode fiber without requiring a lens. The trade off is, however, that in this case the fiber has to be positioned within 0.5 micron (in the lateral direction) with respect to the optical axis of the cavity in order to avoid exciting undesirable higher order Hermite-Gaussian modes. In order to improve the alignment tolerance of the coupling fiber, a thermally expanded core fiber with mode size of 20-50 microns can be used in conjunction with mirrors with appropriately reduced curvature. The curvature  $R$  of the mirror is adjusted based on Equations 1-3 above to match the mode size  $W_0$  of the thermally expanded core fiber. Because of the larger size of the Gaussian mode, the lateral positioning of the fiber is relaxed.

This design is distinctly different from the

- 20 -

single-crystal, parallel mirror resonator design disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,825,262, issued April 25, 1989 to Stephen R. Mallinson.

The processing steps for the fabrication of a novel MEM tunable filter with a confocal cavity of the present invention are similar to those utilized in the fabrication of a novel planar cavity tunable filter/VCSEL of the present invention. A significant difference is in the deposition of the curved DBR's. Control of the magnitude and type of strain in the deposited multilayer dielectric stack of DBR's, and the supporting thin silicon-nitride membrane, is carefully engineered so as to achieve the desired mirror curvature. The magnitude and the type of strain (tensile or compressive) is introduced in these films by the judicious choice of deposition parameters, such as the ratio of the gas mixtures of silane ( $\text{SiH}_4$ ) and ammonia ( $\text{NH}_3$ ), the total pressure of the gases used, and the magnitude of RF power used. The resulting stress gradient between the tensile strain silicon-nitride membrane and the compressively strained dielectric mirror stacks results in a concave



- 21 -

DBR. Further control of the curvature of the top DBR can be achieved by introducing a stress gradient within the mirror layers by a gradual change of temperature and/or deposition voltage. Alternative methods for introducing the desired stress gradient within the mirror layers include the use of a secondary ion source to selectively modify the stress within each layer of the mirror by varying the current or voltage. In one example, a silicon nitride layer of 0.5 micron thickness, with 100 MPa of tensile stress, was deposited by PECVD, and the top mirror was deposited at 100°C using ion-beam sputtering at 700V. The resulting mirror curvature of approximately 1 mm was achieved following removal of the sacrificial layer. Furthermore, varying the temperature of the substrate during the mirror deposition from room temperature to 120°C resulted in a further stress gradient in the mirror layers, decreasing the mirror curvature to 0.75 mm.

Akin to the confocal-cavity tunable filter (Fig. 1), the innovative micro-cavity design using the confocal resonator scheme also provides a stable

- 22 -

fundamental spatial mode in a MEM tunable VCSEL. More particularly, in the case of tunable VCSEL's, the gain medium resides inside the Fabry-Perot cavity defined by a set of planar DBR's and a set of movable curved DBR's, as shown in Fig. 2. Excitation of the gain medium by the fundamental mode leads to laser emission of a single, circular spatial mode. As a result, lateral optical mode confinement arises naturally, without having to form a lateral waveguide. This results in highly efficient VCSEL's.

The confocal cavity scheme is equally applicable to a fixed wavelength VCSEL, as shown in Fig. 5. As explained previously, the fundamental spatial mode in the fixed wavelength VCSEL provides a stable lateral optical confinement, and leads to a single mode laser emission.

#### Competing Technologies

Currently, there are fixed-wavelength VCSEL's commercially available below 1.0  $\mu\text{m}$ .

There are no tunable VCSEL's or MEM tunable Fabry-Perot filters commercially available at this

time.

The only commercially available tunable filters rely on piezoelectric drivers with a complex feedback system (Queensgate Instruments, England), or on the use of bi-refrangent materials sandwiched between cross-polarizers (Cambridge Research Instruments, Massachusetts, using liquid crystals).

Piezoelectric tunable filters have a resolution of about 0.1 nm, with a 50 nm tuning range, but they also require high voltage for operation.

Liquid crystal-based filters can exhibit better resolution, but at the expense of low efficiency, e.g., as low as 99.0%.

The fabrication of the two aforementioned systems is labor-intensive, and thus costly. For example, the top-of-the-line model offered by Queensgate Instruments costs more than \$10,000. Such high cost, combined with the bulkiness of these systems, make them unrealistic for most applications. Specifically, in applications such as future communications networks that will deliver voice, video, data and upstream communications to consumers, all through a single optical fiber cable,

- 24 -

very low cost, compact filters and laser sources are needed. It is believed that the feasibility of harnessing optical fiber cable bandwidths on a broad scale will hinge upon the availability of compact, low cost devices such as the devices of the present invention.

There has been some past effort towards realizing this goal. This effort has been confined to a small research community, and has resulted in reports of tunable LED's, VCSEL's and MEM tunable Fabry-Perot filters.

For example, Larson et al. have published results on (1) a GaAs-based tunable filter (see, for example, Larson, M. C., Pezeshki, B., and Harris, J. S., "Vertical coupled-cavity microinterferometer on GaAs with deformable-membrane top mirror", IEEE Photonics Technology Letters, Vol. 7, pp. 382-384, 1995); (2) an LED (see, for example, Larson, M. C., and Harris, J. S., "Broadly-tunable resonant-cavity light emission", Applied Physics Letters, Vol. 67, No. 5, pp. 590-592, 1995); and (3) a VCSEL (see, for example, Larson, M. C., Massengale, A. R., and Harris, J. S.,

- 25 -

"Continuously tunable micromachined vertical-cavity surface emitting laser with 18 nm wavelength range", Electronic Letters, Vol. 32, No. 19, pp. 330-332, 1996).

These results indicate that Larson et al. used GaAs/AlAs for bottom DBR's, and a gold-coated silicon-nitride membrane as the top mirror. In all of the foregoing Larson et al. devices, the top mirror release is accomplished by selectively wet-etching an underlying sacrificial layer of GaAlAs with hydrochloric acid. Since this technique provides no controlled way of undercutting, the length of the support structure for the top mirror is not well defined from device to device. Furthermore, since the top mirror in Larson et al. has lower bandwidth and reflectivity than the dielectric DBR's of the present invention, the tuning range of the devices of Larson et al. is limited, and their spectral linewidth is broader than that provided by the present invention.

Similarly, Tran et al. have shown (1) a tunable Fabry-Perot filter (see, for example, Tran, A. T. T. T., Lo, Y. H., Zhu, Z. H., Haronian, D., and Mozdy, E.,

- 26 -

"Surface Micromachined Fabry-Perot Tunable Filter", IEEE Photonics Technology Letters, Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 393-395, 1996); and (2) an LED (see, for example, Christenson, G. L., Tran, A. T. T., Zhu, Z. H., Lo, Y. H., Hong, M., Mannaerts, J. P., and Bhat, R., "Long-Wavelength Resonant Vertical-Cavity LED/Photodetector with a 75-nm Tuning Range", IEEE Photonics Technology Letters, Vol. 9, No. 6, pp. 725-727, 1997); the aforementioned filter and LED using polyimide as the sacrificial layer. This method suffers from the same lack of control over precise length fabrication. In addition, polyimide is not a stable material for making a robust device, because aging tends to degrade the stability of the cavity's length.

A tunable filter (see, for example, Vail, E. C., Wu, M. S., Li G. S., Eng, L. and Chang-Hasnain, C. J., "GaAs micromachined widely tunable Fabry-Perot filters", Electronic Letters, Vol. 31, pp. 228-229, 1995) and a VCSEL (see, for example, Vail, E. C., Li, G. S., Yuen, W. and Chang-Hasnain, C. J., "High performance micromechanical tunable vertical-cavity

- 27 -

surface-emitting lasers", Electronic Letters, Vol. 32, No. 20, pp. 1888-1889, 1996) also have been reported by Vail et al. The Vail et al. devices use GaAs/AlAs for the top and bottom DBR's, with a GaAs sacrificial layer for top DBR release. Although Vail et al. use a dry-etching technique to selectively remove the sacrificial GaAs layer, precise control of the top mirror length is still not feasible.

The present invention is distinct from the aforementioned devices in the following aspects, among others:

1. the present invention provides a precise method for defining the lateral dimensions of the top mirror support and the cavity length by deposited supporting posts;
2. the present invention provides an optimized control of the VCSEL gain properties by control of the strain in the deposited DBR; and
3. the confocal design of the VCSEL structure allows single spatial modes, lower threshold and efficient coupling into a single mode fiber.

Brief Description Of The Drawings

The description of the present invention is intended to be considered in conjunction with the drawings, wherein like elements are referred to by like reference numerals throughout, and further wherein:

Fig. 1 is a side elevational view, in cross-section, schematically and diagrammatically illustrating a microelectromechanical tunable filter having a confocal cavity;

Fig. 2 is a side elevational view, in cross-section, schematically and diagrammatically illustrating a tunable vertical cavity surface emitting laser having a confocal cavity;

Fig. 3 (i.e., Figs. 3A-3F) comprises a series of side elevational, diagrammatic, cross-sectional views schematically illustrating the fabrication procedure for making a microelectromechanical tunable filter;

Fig. 4 (i.e., Figs. 4A-4G) comprises a series of side elevational, diagrammatic, cross-sectional views schematically illustrating the fabrication procedure for making a microelectromechanical, tunable, vertical cavity surface emitting laser;



- 29 -

Fig. 5 is a side elevational view, in cross-section, schematically and diagrammatically illustrating a fixed-wavelength vertical cavity surface emitting laser having a confocal cavity;

Figs. 6A-6C show top elevational diagrammatic views of three different forms of the tunable filter/VCSEL device, with Fig. 6A showing a membrane type structure, Fig. 6B showing a four tether device structure, and Fig. 6C showing a three tether device structure; and

Fig. 7 is a schematic diagram illustrating compressive strain induced in a multiple quantum well structure by strained dielectric distributed Bragg reflectors.

#### Further Aspects Of The Invention

A MEM tunable filter 2, and a MEM tunable VCSEL 4, are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively.

More particularly, and looking now at Fig. 4, tunable VCSEL 4 includes a gain medium 6, usually comprising multiple quantum wells, located in a mechanically tunable high-Q Fabry-Perot cavity 8 formed

- 30 -

by a pair of spaced-apart DBR's 10 and 12, respectively. In the present invention, one of the DBR's 12 can be translated towards the other of the DBR's 10 by an applied electrostatic field. This changes the Fabry-Perot cavity length, and provides tuning in the emission wavelength. The VCSEL can be photo-pumped, or charge-injection may be accomplished by intra-cavity electrical interconnections.

Further, the same basic structure, without the gain medium, functions as a tunable filter (see Fig. 3).

If desired, the top DBR 12 can be formed with a curved configuration, so as to form a confocal filter (Fig. 1) or confocal VCSEL (see Fig. 2).

Quantum wells provide the necessary gain during stimulated emission in semiconductor lasers. In the case of a VCSEL, the resonant optical mode inside the micro-cavity interacts with an extremely small volume of this gain medium. As a result, it is important to provide maximum gain per quantum well over the interaction volume. The best method for accomplishing this is to grow the quantum wells under compressive

- 31 -

strain. Compressive strain in the quantum wells leads to anisotropy in the band structure, and to splitting of the degeneracy of the in-plane heavy-hole and light-hole bands, resulting in heavy-hole band shifting of several tens of meV (for 1% compressive strain) above the light-hole band. While the anisotropy leads to a reduction in the in-plane heavy-hole effective mass, which reduces the density of states available for transition near the zone center, the splitting of the degeneracy leads to a preferential population inversion between the conduction band and the desired heavy-hole band. Due to these two effects, the threshold current density decreases, temperature sensitivity is improved, and differential efficiency increases. Compressively strained multiple quantum wells are especially desirable at about 1300 nm and about 1500 nm, since Auger recombination and inter-valence band absorption contribute to intrinsic loss at these long wavelengths. Therefore, the importance of maximizing gain in VCSEL's cannot be over-emphasized.

Strained multiple quantum wells are difficult to grow, and are expensive compared to unstrained quantum

- 32 -

wells.

In the present invention, a method is provided for introducing a desired strain in the unstrained quantum wells of any semiconductor material after the crystal has been grown. The process requires depositing dielectric films with a controlled amount of strain. In the case of VCSEL's, it has been found preferable to deposit one of the DBR stacks with a judiciously chosen strain.

For example, and looking now at Fig. 7, in order to induce compressive strain in the quantum wells, DBR stacks 10, with tensile strain, will be deposited on top of a MQW structure 6 (for example, a InGaAsP/InGaAs MQW), and subsequently flip-chip bonded to a temporary host substrate 20 such as silicon. Thereafter, the temporary host substrate 20 will be selectively removed using an epitaxial lift-off process, and the second set of DBR's will be deposited.

The amount of strain introduced into the MQW by the foregoing process may be approximated by the following equation:

- 33 -

$$\frac{\Delta a}{a} = -\frac{2Pl_2}{c_{11}l_1}$$

where P is the compressive stress in one of the DBR layers;  $c_{11}$  is the average coefficient of stiffness (Young's modulus) of the MQW layers;  $l_2$  is the thickness of the MQW layers; and  $l_1$  is the thickness of the dielectric DBR's. From this equation, it is evident that several Mega Pascals of tensile strain in the DBR's will induce roughly 1% compressive strain in the MQW that are initially lattice-matched. Controlled strain in the DBR's can be introduced by controlling the energy of the ion-beam during deposition of the DBR's, as discussed above. Typically, a few Kilo Pascal to a few Giga Pascal of stress (tensile or compressive) can be introduced in DBR pairs of Si/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Si/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Si/MgO or TiO<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> in this manner.

#### Device Fabrication

A schematic top view of three embodiments of the tunable VCSEL or filter structure is depicted in Figs. 6A-6C.

In Figs. 3 and 4, schematic cross-sectional views

- 34 -

of the fabrication steps used in making microelectromechanical, tunable filters and VCSEL's are shown, respectively. Although the two structures (i.e., the tunable filters and VCSEL's) resemble each other in form, there are subtle differences in the fabrication steps utilized in making the two different devices. The fabrication steps for each of these devices are discussed in detail below.

#### MEM Tunable VCSEL/Filter Fabrication Procedure

1. When fabricating a tunable VCSEL, DBR's 10 are deposited on top of the MQW structure 6. The MQW structure 6, with the DBR's 10 deposited thereon, is applied to a suitable temporary substrate 20, such as silicon, GaAs or sapphire. This is accomplished by a method such as flip-chip bonding, fusion bonding or Van der Waals bonding (see Fig. 4A). On the other hand, when fabricating a tunable filter, the DBR's 10 are deposited directly onto a host substrate of choice 24 (Fig. 3A).

2. When fabricating a tunable VCSEL, the structure of Fig. 4A is mounted to a host substrate of

- 35 -

choice 24 (Fig. 4B). Next, the temporary substrate 20, upon which the MQW structure 6 resides, is selectively removed by an etch-back technique (Fig. 4B). In this method, a highly selective etchant is used to etch the temporary substrate 20, and etching is terminated at a strategically located etch-stop layer 26. It has been found that a one-to-one mixture of concentrated hydrochloric acid and hypochloric acid removes InP preferentially over InGaAs. In the case of a GaAs substrate, a citric acid and hydrogen peroxide mixture can be used for selective removal of the temporary substrate 20 over AlAs. Another approach, which has been found to be useful with GaAs substrates, is to grow a thin layer of AlAs between the temporary substrate 20 and the MQW structure 6 deposited thereon. The AlAs may then be selectively etched. This allows the MQW structure 6 to be lifted away from the GaAs substrate.

3. At this stage, one of the tuning electrodes, 28, is deposited on top of the DBR layer 10 in the case of a tunable filter 2 (Fig. 3B), and on top of the MQW structure 6 in the case of tunable VCSEL 4 (Fig. 4C).

- 36 -

If appropriate, an isolation layer 29 (i.e., an electrically insulating layer) may then be deposited atop some or all of the electrodes 28. See, for example, Fig. 4C, where an isolation layer 29 is shown (in phantom) atop electrodes 28.

4. After the DBR layer 10 (in the case of a filter), or the MQW structure 6 and DBR layer 10 (in the case of a VCSEL), has been deposited on the substrate 24, a calibrated thickness 30 of polyimide, or aluminum, or some other sacrificial material, is deposited on top of the MQW structure 6 in the case of tunable VCSEL (Fig. 4D), and on top of the DBR layer 10 in the case of a tunable filter (Fig. 3C). The polyimide or aluminum structure 30 will act as a sacrificial layer later in the method as described in detail below. It should be appreciated that it is very important to accurately control the thickness and lateral dimensions of the polyimide or aluminum structure 30. This is because the thickness of this deposit will determine the ultimate length of the air cavity 8 in the tunable Fabry-Perot device and, hence, the unbiased resonant wavelength of the device. The



- 37 -

lateral dimension of the polyimide or aluminum deposit 30, on the other hand, determines the voltage response of the device and the resonance frequency.

5. Thereafter, an etch-mask is used to pattern the polyimide or aluminum deposit 30 so as to leave a circular disk-shaped deposit defining an outwardly slanted edge 32 on its etched perimeter (Figs. 3C and 4D). The size and shape of the etched deposit 30 is carefully designed and controlled because its outer surface will determine the length of the top mirror support. Specifically, the disk of polyimide or aluminum acts like a "micro-die" which precisely controls the lateral dimensions and shape of the tunable VCSEL or filter. This precise control of the lateral dimensions of the tunable VCSEL or filter is unparalleled by any existing techniques employed in existing MEM tunable VCSEL or filter fabrication. As alluded to above, later in the process, the polyimide or aluminum layer 30 will be selectively removed using a suitable dry-etching technique.

6. In the case wherein a  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  membrane is used for top mirror support, a thin layer 36 (Figs. 3D and

- 38 -

4E) of metal is first deposited on the exposed top surface of the polyimide or aluminum deposit to form the top tuning electrode.

7. Thereafter, either a thin layer of silicon nitride or a thin layer of another metal other than aluminum, e.g., titanium-tungsten (TiW), generally shown at 37, is deposited over the entire structure, i.e., over the polyimide or aluminum sacrificial layer 30 and the remaining structure (Figs. 3D and 4E). In the case where layer 37 is not transparent, the center portion is removed (see Figs. 3D and 3E, and Fig. 4E).

8. A thick layer 38 of metal (such as Al or TiW) or hard dielectrics (such as silicon nitride) forming a rim (in case of a membrane type device such as is shown in Fig. 6A) or patches of support forming arms (in the case of a tether device such as is shown in Figs. 6B or 6C) is then selectively deposited on the periphery of the device where the device membrane or tethers meet the bottom DBR. The width of annulus or support patches 38 is selected such that a thick metal rim extends from the top of the bottom DBR 10, over the sloped edge 32 of the sacrificial layer 30 of polyimide

- 39 -

or aluminum, and onto the top of the sacrificial disk 30, as indicated in Figs. 3E and 4F. This is an important innovation because the thick metal structure 38 provides robust support to the thin membrane 37 of silicon nitride or TiW after the underlying sacrificial layer 30 of polyimide or aluminum has been removed.

9. Using an etch-mask, radially emanating openings 40 (Fig. 6A) then are formed by etching through the transparent metal and silicon nitride or the thin (TiW) film, 37, down to the underlying sacrificial disk 30. These openings provide gateways for the etchants to selectively remove the underlying sacrificial disk 30.

10. A circular top DBR stack 12, extending tens of micrometer in diameter, is then selectively deposited only at the center 42 (Figs. 3E, 4G and 6A-6C) of the silicon nitride/metal membrane or TiW film 37. This selective deposition provides an extremely good quality DBR, and avoids having to etch the top DBR, which can be a difficult and costly effort. To the extent that top DBR stack 12 is to assume a curved configuration in the completed device

- 40 -

(e.g., as shown in Figs. 1 and 2), an appropriate magnitude and type of strain is introduced into top DBR stack 12 during deposition of the top DBR stack, in the manner discussed above.

11. Finally, an oxygen plasma is used to selectively remove the polyimide layer 30 (Figs. 3F and 4G). This releases the silicon nitride/metal membrane 37 along with the top DBR 12. To the extent that top DBR stack 12 is formed with an appropriate magnitude and type of strain to result in the formation of a curved DBR stack, the release of silicon nitride/metal membrane 37 permits the top DBR stack 12 to assume its desired curved configuration.  $\text{CF}_4$  plasma is used in the case of selective removal of an aluminum sacrificial layer 30. Since wet chemistry is not involved, there is no risk of the released silicon nitride/metal membrane or TiW film, 37, collapsing due to surface tension.

This completes the fabrication of a MEM tunable filter/VCSEL in which lasing can be accomplished by photo-pumping with a separate pump laser having a wavelength that is highly absorptive within the gain

- 41 -

spectrum of the MQWs being used. The techniques discussed in the present invention to achieve wavelength tuning can be easily adapted to a current-injected MEM tunable VCSEL as well. In this situation, intra-cavity electrical interconnections have to be made to the p-i-n junction in the MQW structure after the first mirror deposition step, which was explained in step 1 of the tunable VCSEL fabrication procedure.

It will be understood that the foregoing detailed description of preferred embodiments of the invention has been presented by way of illustration, and not limitation. Various modifications, variations, changes, adaptations and the like will occur to those skilled in the art in view of the foregoing specification. Accordingly, the present invention should be understood as being limited only by the terms of the claims.

What Is Claimed Is:

1. A method for introducing a pre-selected amount, and type, of strain into the quantum wells of a pre-grown crystalline semiconductor material, said method comprising the steps of:

providing a member formed of said crystalline semiconductor material, said member having an upper surface and defining multiple quantum wells; and

depositing at least one thin film layer on said upper surface of said member, said at least one thin film layer containing a pre-selected amount, and type, of strain, said type of strain in said at least one thin film layer being the opposite type to that desired to be introduced into said member.

2. A method according to claim 1 wherein said at least one thin film layer comprises a dielectric material.

3. A method according to claim 2 wherein said dielectric material is selected from the group

consisting of silicon nitride, silicon dioxide and aluminum oxide.

4. A method according to claim 1 wherein said at least one thin film layer comprises a non-dielectric material.

5. A method according to claim 4 wherein said non-dielectric material is selected from the group consisting of chromium, nickel chromium, titanium gold, titanium platinum, titanium dioxide and magnesium oxide.

6. A method according to claim 1 wherein said step of depositing said at least one thin film layer is achieved by means of ion-beam assisted electron-beam evaporation.

7. A method according to claim 6 wherein the amount, and type, of strain in said at least one thin film layer is determined by controlling the ion-beam voltage and current of the "sputtering" or "assisting"

- 44 -

ions.

8. A method according to claim 6 wherein the amount, and type, of strain in said at least one thin film layer is determined by controlling the temperature of said member.

9. A method according to claim 1 wherein said step of depositing said at least one thin film layer is achieved by means of ion-beam sputtering.

10. A method according to claim 9 wherein the amount, and type, of strain in said at least one thin film layer is determined by controlling the ion-beam voltage and current.

11. A method according to claim 9 wherein the amount, and type, of strain in said at least one thin film layer is determined by controlling the temperature of said member.

12. A method according to claim 1 wherein said at



least one thin film layer comprises one of the group consisting of Si;  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ;  $\text{SiO}_2$ ;  $\text{TiO}_2$ ;  $\text{MgO}$ ;  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$ ; zirconium oxide; or any combination thereof.

13. A method according to claim 1 wherein said quantum wells are unstrained prior to the application of said at least one thin film layer.

14. A method according to claim 1 wherein said quantum wells are under tensile strain prior to the application of said at least one thin film layer.

15. A method according to claim 1 wherein said quantum wells are under compressive strain prior to the application of said at least one thin film layer.

16. A method according to claim 1 wherein the amount, and type, of strain in said at least one thin film layer is selected so as to maximize the compressive strain in said quantum wells without damage to said member.

- 46 -

17. A method according to claim 1 wherein the amount, and type, of strain in said at least one thin film layer is selected so as to maximize the tensile strain in said quantum wells without damage to said member.

18. A method according to claim 1 wherein said material is incorporated into an edge-emitting laser.

19. A method according to claim 18 wherein the amount, and type, of strain is selected so as to optimize the optical gain coefficient of said laser.

20. A method according to claim 1 wherein said material is incorporated into a vertical cavity surface emitting laser.

21. A method according to claim 20 wherein the amount, and type, of strain is selected so as to optimize the optical gain coefficient of said laser.

22. A microelectromechanically tunable vertical

- 47 -

cavity surface emitting laser, said laser comprising:

- (a) a substrate having an upper surface;
- (b) a first mirror having a first upper surface located on the upper surface of said substrate;
- (c) a layer of gain material having a second upper surface and defining multiple quantum wells, said layer of gain material being located on said first upper surface;
- (d) a first electrode deposited on said second upper surface;
- (e) a membrane having an upper surface and a lower surface, at least part of said membrane carrying a second electrode on its lower surface in spaced relation to said first electrode, said membrane defining the length and lateral dimensions of an air cavity located between said first and second electrodes;
- (f) a thick support structure, said support structure connecting said second upper surface to the periphery of said at least a part of said membrane carrying said second electrode, said support structure being adapted to stabilize said membrane; and

- 48 -

(g) a second mirror located centrally of said at least a part of said membrane carrying said second electrode, said second mirror being disposed on said upper surface of said membrane,

wherein said second mirror is translatable relative to said first mirror in response to an electric field applied between said electrodes.

23. A laser according to claim 22 wherein said substrate comprises a semiconductor material.

24. A laser according to claim 22 wherein said multiple quantum wells are compressively stressed.

25. A laser according to claim 22 wherein said mirrors comprise distributed Bragg reflectors.

26. A laser according to claim 25 wherein said distributed Bragg reflectors comprise alternating layers of quarter-wavelength thick deposited dielectric films.

- 49 -

27. A laser according to claim 26 wherein said dielectric films are fabricated from the group consisting of Si;  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ;  $\text{SiO}_2$ ;  $\text{TiO}_2$ ;  $\text{MgO}$ ;  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$ ; zirconium oxide; or any combination thereof.

28. A laser according to claim 22 wherein said membrane comprises a plurality of openings therethrough, between said second mirror and said support structure.

29. A laser according to claim 28 wherein said openings comprise radial slits.

30. A laser according to claim 28 wherein said openings comprise holes.

31. A laser according to claim 28 wherein said openings comprise a spiral slit.

32. A laser according to claim 22 wherein said support structure comprises an annulus formed from a material selected from the group consisting of silicon

- 50 -

nitride and titanium tungsten.

33. A laser according to claim 22 wherein said membrane is fabricated from the group consisting of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  and TiW.

34. A laser according to claim 22 wherein said first mirror is planar and said second mirror is curved, so as to form, between said mirrors, a confocal stable resonator.

35. A laser according to claim 34 wherein said confocal stable resonator has a well-defined near-Gaussian mode structure.

36. A laser according to claim 34 wherein said second mirror has a radius of curvature, said radius of curvature being optimized such that said mode size of said cavity matches the size of the core of an optical fiber.

37. A microelectromechanically tunable

- 51 -

Fabry-Perot filter, said filter comprising:

- (a) a substrate having an upper surface;
- (b) a first mirror having a first upper surface located on the upper surface of said substrate;
- (c) a first electrode deposited on said second upper surface;
- (d) a membrane having an upper surface and a lower surface, at least part of said membrane carrying a second electrode on its lower surface in spaced relation to said first electrode, said membrane defining the length and lateral dimensions of an air cavity located between said first and second electrodes;
- (e) a thick support structure, said support structure connecting said second upper surface to the periphery of said at least a part of said membrane carrying said second electrode, said support structure being adapted to stabilize said membrane; and
- (f) a second mirror located centrally of said at least a part of said membrane carrying said second electrode, said second mirror being disposed on said upper surface of said membrane,

- 52 -

wherein said second mirror is translatable relative to said first mirror in response to an electric field applied between said electrodes.

38. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 37 wherein said substrate comprises a semiconductor material.

39. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 37 wherein said mirrors comprise distributed Bragg reflectors.

40. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 39 wherein said distributed Bragg reflectors comprise alternating layers of quarter-wavelength thick deposited dielectric films.

41. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 40 wherein said dielectric films are fabricated from the group consisting of Si;  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ;  $\text{SiO}_2$ ;  $\text{TiO}_2$ ;  $\text{MgO}$ ;  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$ ; zirconium oxide; or any combination thereof.



- 53 -

42. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 37 wherein said membrane comprises a plurality of openings therethrough, between said second mirror and said support structure.

43. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 42 wherein said openings comprise radial slits.

44. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 42 wherein said openings comprise holes.

45. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 42 wherein said openings comprise a spiral slit.

46. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 37 wherein said support structure comprises an annulus formed from a material selected from the group consisting of silicon nitride and titanium tungsten.

47. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 37 wherein said membrane is fabricated from the group consisting of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  and TiW.

- 54 -

48. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 37 wherein said first mirror is planar and said second mirror is curved, so as to form, between said mirrors, a confocal stable resonator.

49. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 48 wherein said confocal stable resonator has a well-defined near-Gaussian mode structure.

50. A Fabry-Perot filter according to claim 48 wherein said second mirror has a radius of curvature, said radius of curvature being optimized such that said mode size of said cavity matches the size of the core of an optical fiber.

51. A method for making a microelectromechanically tunable, vertical cavity surface emitting laser, said method comprising the steps of:

(a) providing a member having a substrate, a first mirror disposed on said substrate, and a layer of

- 55 -

gain material disposed on said first mirror;

(b) depositing a first electrode onto said gain material;

(c) depositing a calibrated thickness of a sacrificial material on top of said gain material and said first electrode;

(d) etch-masking said sacrificial material so as to create a central structure having an inwardly sloped perimeter edge on said gain material/first electrode structure;

(e) depositing a second electrode on said central structure;

(f) depositing a thin layer of a second material on top of the gain material/central structure/second electrode structure;

(g) depositing a thick annulus of support material onto said layer of second material such that said annulus covers the sloped perimeter edge of said support structure and extends inwardly thereof, adjacent to and parallel to the top surface of said central structure, and outwardly thereof, adjacent to and parallel to the upper surface of said gain

- 56 -

material;

(h) etch-masking openings through said layer of second material adjacent to the top of said central structure, between a substantially circular center portion thereof and the inner edge of said annulus;

(i) selectively depositing a second mirror onto said substantially circular center portion; and

(j) selectively removing said central structure through said openings using an etching technique.

52. A method according to claim 51 wherein said sacrificial material is selected from the group consisting of polyimide, aluminum and photoresist.

53. A method according to claim 51 wherein said central structure is in the form of a disk.

54. A method according to claim 51 wherein said central structure is in the form of a polygon.

55. A method according to claim 51 wherein said second material is selected from the group consisting

- 57 -

of silicon nitride and metals other than aluminum.

56. A method according to claim 51 wherein said support material is selected from the group consisting of silicon nitride or a metal.

57. A method according to claim 51 wherein said etching technique comprises dry etching.

58. A method according to claim 51 wherein said etching technique comprises wet etching.

59. A method according to claim 51 wherein said first and second mirrors comprise distributed Bragg reflectors.

60. A method according to claim 51 wherein the material of said mirrors is selected from the group consisting of Si;  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ;  $\text{SiO}_2$ ;  $\text{TiO}_2$ ;  $\text{MgO}$ ;  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$ ; zirconium oxide; or any combination thereof.

61. A method according to claim 51 wherein said

- 58 -

thick metal annulus is formed of TiW.

62. A method according to claim 51 wherein said central structure is formed of polyimide and said etching is accomplished with oxygen plasma.

63. A method according to claim 51 wherein said central structure comprises aluminum, and said etching is accomplished using  $\text{CF}_4$  plasma.

64. A method according to claim 51 wherein said first mirror is planar and, subsequent to step (j), said second mirror is curved, so as to form, between said mirrors, a confocal stable resonator.

65. A method according to claim 64 wherein said confocal stable resonator has a well-defined near-Gaussian mode structure.

66. A method according to claim 64 wherein the curvature of said second mirror is controlled by introducing a stress gradient within said second

mirror.

67. A method according to claim 66 wherein said stress gradient is created by controlled changes in deposition temperatures or deposition voltage.

68. A method for making a microelectromechanically tunable Fabry-Perot filter, said method comprising the steps of:

- (a) depositing a first mirror onto the upper surface of a substrate;
- (b) depositing a first electrode onto said first mirror;
- (c) depositing a calibrated thickness of a sacrificial material on top of the substrate/first mirror/first electrode structure;
- (d) etch-masking said sacrificial material so as to leave a central structure having an inwardly sloped perimeter edge on said first mirror/first electrode structure;
- (e) depositing a second electrode on top of said central structure;

- 60 -

(f) depositing a thin layer of a second material on top of the central structure/second electrode/substrate structure;

(g) depositing a thick annulus of support material onto said layer of second material such that said annulus covers the sloped perimeter edge of said central structure and extends inwardly thereof, adjacent to and parallel to the top surface of said central structure, and outwardly thereof, adjacent to and parallel to the upper surface of said first mirror;

(h) etch-masking openings through the layer of second material adjacent to the top of said central structure, between a substantially circular center portion thereof and the inner edge of said annulus;

(i) selectively depositing a second mirror onto said substantially circular center portion; and

(j) selectively removing said central structure through said openings using an etching technique.

69. A method according to claim 68 wherein said first mirror is planar and, subsequent to step (j), said second mirror is curved, so as to form, between



- 61 -

said mirrors, a confocal stable resonator.

70. A method according to claim 68 wherein said sacrificial material is selected from the group consisting of polyimide, aluminum and photoresist.

71. A method according to claim 68 wherein said central structure is in the form of a disk.

72. A method according to claim 68 wherein said central structure is in the form of a polygon.

73. A method according to claim 68 wherein said second material is selected from a group consisting of silicon nitride and metals other than aluminum.

74. A method according to claim 68 wherein said support material is selected from the group consisting of silicon nitride or a metal.

75. A method according to claim 68 wherein said etching technique comprises dry etching.

- 62 -

76. A method according to claim 68 wherein said etching technique comprises wet etching.

77. A method according to claim 68 wherein said first and second mirrors comprise distributed Bragg reflectors.

78. A method according to claim 68 wherein the material of said mirrors is selected from the group consisting of Si;  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ;  $\text{SiO}_2$ ;  $\text{TiO}_2$ ;  $\text{MgO}$ ;  $\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5$ ; zirconium oxide; or any combination thereof.

79. A method according to claim 68 wherein said thick metal annulus is formed of TiW.

80. A method according to claim 68 wherein said central structure is formed of polyimide and said etching is accomplished with oxygen plasma.

81. A method according to claim 68 wherein said central structure comprises aluminum, and said etching

- 63 -

is accomplished using  $\text{CF}_4$  plasma.

82. A method according to claim 9 wherein said step of depositing said at least one thin film layer is achieved by means of ion-beam assisted ion-beam sputtering.




FIG. 1  
MEM TUNABLE FILTER  
WITH CONFOCAL CAVITY




FIG. 2  
TUNABLE VCSEL WITH CONFOCAL CAVITY




FIG. 5  
FIXED WAVELENGTH VCSEL  
WITH CONFOCAL CAVITY

FIG. 3 SHOWS FABRICATION PROCEDURE  
FOR MEM TUNABLE FILTER




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 3C




FIG. 3D




FIG. 3E




FIG. 3F

2 →

FIG. 4 SHOWS FABRICATION PROCEDURE  
FOR MEM TUNABLE VCSEL




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 4C




FIG. 4D






FIG. 4E




FIG. 4F




FIG. 4G

4 →

7 / 9

FIG. 6 SHOWS DIFFERENT FORMS  
OF TUNABLE FILTER/VSEL DEVICES




FIG. 6A





FIG. 6B






FIG. 7

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>G01B 9/02, G02B 26/00, H01S 3/19, H01L 21/20</b>		A3	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 99/34484</b>
			(43) International Publication Date: 8 July 1999 (08.07.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/27681			(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
(22) International Filing Date: 28 December 1998 (28.12.98)			
(30) Priority Data: 60/068,931 29 December 1997 (29.12.97) US 09/105,399 26 June 1998 (26.06.98) US			
(71) Applicant: CORETEK, INC. [US/US]; 25 B Street, Burlington, MA 01803 (US).			
(72) Inventors: TAYEBATI, Parviz; 118 Pierce Road, Watertown, MA 02172 (US). AZIMI, Masud; Apartment 9, 6 Dansforth Road, Nashua, NH 03060 (US). WANG, Peidong; Apartment 26, 272 Cambridge Street, Woburn, MA 01801 (US). VAKHSHOORI, Daryoosh; Apartment 205, 10 Rogers Street, Cambridge, MA 02142 (US).			
(74) Agent: PANDISCIO, Mark, J.; Pandiscio & Pandiscio, 470 Totten Pond Road, Waltham, MA 02451-1914 (US).			(88) Date of publication of the international search report: 10 September 1999 (10.09.99)


Published

With international search report.

(54) Title: MICROELECTROMECHANICALLY, TUNABLE, CONFOCAL, VCSEL AND FABRY-PEROT FILTER

(57) Abstract

A method of fabricating microelectromechanically tunable vertical-cavity surface-emitting laser (4) and microelectromechanically tunable Fabry-Perot filter (2) with precise lateral and vertical dimensional control is disclosed. Strained reflective dielectric film stack (6) are applied to multiple quantum-well structure to electronically band-gap-engineer the quantum wells. Strain in the reflective dielectric layers is used to create a curvature in one of the reflective dielectric film stacks to form a confocal-cavity (8) between a planar reflective dielectric film stack (10) and a curved reflective film stack (12) in the laser or filter, which are also provided with suspended membrane structure (37) that supports a cavity-tuning dielectric film stack, while being anchored at the perimeter by a meatal support posts (38). Precise air-cavity length and lateral dimensions are achieved by micro-die-casting using a sacrificial material of polyamide or aluminum. Furthering reflective dielectric film stack in a controlled electrostatic field.



MEM TUNABLE FILTER  
WITH CONFOCAL CAVITY

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US98/27681

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : G01B 9/02; G02B 26/00; HO1S 3/19; HO1L 21/20

US CL : Please See Extra Sheet.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : Please See Extra Sheet.

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  
NONEElectronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
USPAT, INSPEC, CA

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5,142,414 A(Koehler) 25 JUNE 1992(25/6/92) see figs 3,4,5A, 6 and related description.	37-50, 68-81
A	US 5,331,658 A (SHIEH et al) 19 JUNE 1994(19/6/94) see fig.6, related description.	22-36, 51-67
A	US 5,818,862 A(SALET) 6 OCTOBER 1998(6/10/98)see fig.6, related description.	22-36, 51-67
A	US 5,646,729 A (KOSKINEN et al) 8 JULY 1997(8/7/97) see Fig.5 and related description.	37-50, 68-81

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
*E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*G* document member of the same patent family
*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

03 JUNE 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

07 JUL 1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3432

Authorized officer

SAVITR Mulpuri

Telephone No. (703) 305-5184

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application no.

PCT/US98/27681

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5,879,961 A (SCOTT) 9 MARCH 1999 (9/3/99) see fig.3 and related description.	22-36 and 51-67
A	US 5,739,945 A (YAYEBATI) 14 APRIL 1998 (14/4/98) see fig. 1b and related description.	37-50 and 68-81
A	US 5,771,253 A (CAHNG-HASNAIN et al) 23 JUNE 1998 (23/6/98) see abstract	37-50 and 68-81
X	US 5,561,680 A (HABERERN et al) 10 OCTOBER 1996(10/10/96), see figs. 1-4 and col. 2, lines 35-58, detailed description	1, 4-5
Y	US 5,825,796 A (JEWELL et al) 20 OCTOBER 1998(20/10/98) col. 21, lines 45-67, col. 22 lines 1-65.	1 -21
Y	US 5,491,710 A (LO) 13 FEBRUARY 1996(13/2/96) see Fig. 5, detailed description	1-21
Y	5,339,326 A (TSUJIMURA et al) 16 AUGUST 1994(16/8/94) see abstract, col. 4, lines 46-50	6-11
A	US 5,291,502 A (PEZESHKI et al) 1 MARCH 1994(1/3/94) see figs. 1 and 2, and col. 3-5.	1-21, 22-36, 51-67

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of second sheet)(July 1992)\*



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US98/27681

## Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
  
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
  
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

Please See Extra Sheet.

1. ☒ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
  
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

☐

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

☒

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER:**

US CL :

438/22  
372/ 50, 99  
459/578  
257/14

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched

Classification System: U.S.

438/22,27,29,40,42,46,47,701,706,720,725  
216/2  
372/20, 46, 50, 92, 96 99, 103  
459/291, 352, 578, 579

**BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION WAS LACKING**

This ISA found multiple inventions as follows:

Group I, claim(s)1-21 and 82 drawn to a method of introducing strain into quantum wells.

Group II, claim(s) 22-36 and 51-67, drawn to a laser.

Group III, claim(s) 37-50 and 68-81, drawn to a filter.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II and III do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:the technical features of the method of Group I is not required by Group II or Group III. The Filter of Group III does not require the technical features of the multiple quantum wells of the Group II laser.